Dynamical and Geometric Phases of Bose-Einstein Condensates

Zhao-Xian Yu · Fu-Ping Liu · Zhi-Yong Jiao

Received: 6 July 2007 / Accepted: 4 September 2007 / Published online: 2 October 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract By using of the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory, dynamical and geometric phases of Bose-Einstein condensates are studied. The Aharonov-Anandan phase is also obtained under the cyclical evolution.

Keywords Phase · Bose-Einstein condensate

1 Introduction

Recently, much attention has been paid to the investigation of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in dilute and ultracold gases of neutral alkali-metal atoms using a combination of laser and evaporative cooling [1-3] due to the optical properties [4, 5], statistical properties [6, 7], phase properties [8, 9], and tunneling effect [10-18].

As we known that the quantum invariant theory proposed by Lewis and Riesenfeld [19] is a powerful tool for treating systems with time-dependent Hamiltonians. It was generalized in [20] by introducing the concept of basic invariants and used to study the geometric phases [21, 22] in connection with the exact solutions of the corresponding time-dependent Schrödinger equations. The discovery of Berry's phase is not only a breakthrough in the older theory of quantum adiabatic approximations [23, 24], but also provides us with new insights in many physical phenomena. The concept of Berry's phase has developed in some different directions [25–32]. In this paper, by using of the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory, we shall study dynamical and the geometric phases of Bose-Einstein condensates.

F.-P. Liu

Department of Physics, Beijing Institute of Graphic Communication, Beijing 102600, China

Z.-Y. Jiao (🖂)

Department of Physics, China University of Petroleum (East China), Dongying 257061, China e-mail: zhyjiao@126.com

Z.-X. Yu

Department of Physics, Beijing Information Science and Technology University, Beijing 100101, China

2 Model

The two internal states of the BEC are coupled by a near resonant pulsed radiation field [33]. Total density and mean phase remain constant during the condensate evolution, then Hamiltonian describing the transition between the two internal states reads [34]

$$H = \mu (a_1^{\dagger} a_1 - a_2^{\dagger} a_2) + g (a_1^{\dagger} a_1 - a_2^{\dagger} a_2)^2 + K \delta_T (t) (a_1^{\dagger} a_2 + a_2^{\dagger} a_1),$$
(1)

where *K* is the coupling strength between the two internal states, *g* is the interaction, and μ is the difference between the chemical potentials of two components. a_i (a_i^{\dagger}) (i = 1, 2) are boson annihilation (creation) operators for the two components. $\delta_T(t) = \sum_n \delta(t - nT)$ means that the radiation field is only turned on at certain discrete moments, namely, integral multiples of the period *T*.

3 Dynamical and Geometric Phases of Bose-Einstein Condensates

For self-consistent, we first illustrate the Lewis-Riesenfeld (L-R) invariant theory [19]. For a one-dimensional system whose Hamiltonian H(t) is time-dependent, then there exists an operator I(t) called invariant if it satisfies the equation

$$i\frac{\partial I(t)}{\partial t} + [I(t), H(t)] = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

The eigenvalue equation of the time-dependent invariant $|\lambda_n, t\rangle$ is given

$$I(t)|\lambda_n, t\rangle = \lambda_n |\lambda_n, t\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial t} = 0$. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation for this system is

$$i\frac{\partial|\psi(t)\rangle_s}{\partial t} = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle_s.$$
(4)

According to the L-R invariant theory, the particular solution $|\lambda_n, t\rangle_s$ of (4) is different from the eigenfunction $|\lambda_n, t\rangle$ of I(t) only by a phase factor $\exp[i\delta_n(t)]$, i.e.,

$$|\lambda_n, t\rangle_s = \exp[i\delta_n(t)]|\lambda_n, t\rangle, \tag{5}$$

which shows that $|\lambda_n, t\rangle_s$ (n = 1, 2, ...) forms a complete set of the solutions of (4). Then the general solution of the Schrödinger equation (4) can be written by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle_s = \sum_n C_n \exp[i\delta_n(t)]|\lambda_n, t\rangle,$$
 (6)

where

$$\delta_n(t) = \int_0^t dt' \left\langle \lambda_n, t' \middle| i \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} - H(t') \middle| \lambda_n, t' \right\rangle, \tag{7}$$

and $C_n = \langle \lambda_n, 0 | \psi(0) \rangle_s$.

It is easy to find that $I_1(t) = N_1^2 + N_2^2 + 2N_1N_2$ (where $N_i = a_i^{\dagger}a_i$ for i = 1, 2) is a special invariant of this system and satisfies $\hat{I}_1(t)|m\rangle_{a_1}|n\rangle_{a_2} = \lambda_{mn}|m\rangle_{a_1}|n\rangle_{a_2}$, where $a_1^{\dagger}a_1|m\rangle_{a_1} = m|m\rangle_{a_1}, a_2^{\dagger}a_2|n\rangle_{a_2} = n|n\rangle_{a_2}$, and $\lambda_{mn} = m^2 + n^2 + 2mn$.

D Springer

In the following, we can restrict the space being in the sub-space of the eigenstate of the invariant $I_1(t)$. Corresponding, $I_1(t)$ appearing in (1) can be replaced by its eigenvalue λ_{mn} . Correspondingly, (1) becomes

$$H = \mu (a_1^{\dagger} a_1 - a_2^{\dagger} a_2) + g \lambda_{mn} + K \delta_T(t) (a_1^{\dagger} a_2 + a_2^{\dagger} a_1) - 4g a_1^{\dagger} a_1 a_2^{\dagger} a_2.$$
(8)

In order to obtain the exact solutions of (4), we can define operators K_+ , K_- and K_0 as follows:

$$K_{+} = a_{1}^{\dagger}a_{2}, \qquad K_{-} = a_{2}^{\dagger}a_{1}, \qquad K_{0} = a_{1}^{\dagger}a_{1} - a_{2}^{\dagger}a_{2},$$
 (9)

which hold the commutation relations

$$[K_0, K_{\pm}] = \pm 2K_{\pm}, \qquad [K_+, K_-] = K_0, \tag{10}$$

it is easy to prove that operators K_+ , K_- and K_0 together with the Hamiltonian H construct a quasi-algebra.

Then we can get the L-R invariant as follows

$$I_2(t) = \cos\theta K_0 - e^{-i\varphi}\sin\theta K_+ - e^{i\varphi}\sin\theta K_-, \qquad (11)$$

it is apparent that $[I_1(t), I_2(t)] = 0$. Here θ and φ are determined by the equation $i\partial I_2(t)/\partial t + [I_2(t), H(t)] = 0$, and satisfy the relations

$$\dot{\theta} = 4K\delta_T(t)\sin\varphi,\tag{12}$$

$$\dot{\varphi}\sin\theta\sin\varphi - \dot{\theta}\cos\theta\cos\varphi - 2\mu\sin\theta\sin\varphi = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$2K\delta_T(t)\cos\theta + 2\mu\sin\theta\cos\varphi - \dot{\varphi}\sin\theta\cos\varphi - \dot{\theta}\cos\theta\sin\varphi = 0, \qquad (14)$$

where dot denotes the time derivative, and we have adopted an approximation, i.e., $N_1 \gg 1$, $N_2 \gg 1$, and $N_1 \approx N_2$.

According to the unitary transformation method, we can construct the unitary transformation

$$V(t) = \exp[\sigma K_{+} - \sigma^{*} K_{-}], \qquad (15)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\theta}{2}e^{-i\varphi}$ and $\sigma^* = \frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\varphi}$. The invariant $I_2(t)$ can be transformed into a new time-independent operator I_V :

$$I_V = V^{\dagger}(t)I_2(t)V(t) = K_0.$$
(16)

Correspondingly, we can get the eigenvalue equation of operator I(t)

$$\hat{I}_{V}|m\rangle_{a_{1}}|n\rangle_{a_{2}} = (m-n)|m\rangle_{a_{1}}|n\rangle_{a_{2}}.$$
(17)

In terms of the unitary transformation V(t) and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula [35]

$$V^{\dagger}(t)\frac{\partial V(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2!} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{4!} \left[\left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right], L \right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, L \right] +$$

where $V(t) = \exp[L(t)]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} H_V(t) &= V^{\dagger}(t)H(t)V(t) - iV^{\dagger}(t)\frac{\partial V(t)}{\partial t} \\ &= \left(g + \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta\right)\lambda_{mn} \\ &+ \left[\mu\cos\theta - K\delta_T(t)\sin\theta\cos\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta + \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{2}(1-\cos\theta)\right]a_1^{\dagger}a_1 \\ &- \left[\mu\cos\theta - K\delta_T(t)\sin\theta\cos\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta + \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{2}(1-\cos\theta)\right]a_2^{\dagger}a_2 \\ &+ (2-3\sin^2\theta)a_1^{\dagger}a_1a_2^{\dagger}a_2, \end{aligned}$$

where λ_{mn} is the eigenvalue of operator $I_1(t)$ given above. In (19), we have let the coefficients of $a_1^{\dagger}a_2$ and $a_2^{\dagger}a_1$ equal to zero under the following conditions

$$\mu \sin \theta \cos \varphi + K \delta_T(t) \left(\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos 2\varphi \right) = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$K\delta_T(t)\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\sin 2\varphi - \mu\sin\theta\sin\varphi = 0.$$
 (20)

It is easy to find that H(t) differs from I_V only by a time-dependent c-number factor. Thus we can get the general solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (4)

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle_s = \sum_n \sum_m C_{nm} \exp[i\delta_{nm}(t)]\hat{V}(t)|m\rangle_{a_1}|n\rangle_{a_2},$$
(21)

with the coefficients $C_{nm} = \langle n, m, t = 0 | \Psi(0) \rangle_s$. The phase $\delta_{nm}(t) = \delta_{nm}^d(t) + \delta_{nm}^g(t)$ includes the dynamical phase

$$\delta_{nm}^{d}(t) = -m \int_{t_0}^{t} \left[\mu \cos \theta - K \delta_T(t) \sin \theta \cos \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \right] dt' - \int_{t_0}^{t} \left(g + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \right) \lambda_{mn} dt' + n \int_{t_0}^{t} \left[\mu \cos \theta - K \delta_T(t) \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \right] dt' - mn \int_{t_0}^{t} (2 - 3 \sin^2 \theta) dt',$$
(22)

and the geometric phase

$$\delta_{nm}^{g}(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} (n-m)\frac{\dot{\varphi}}{2}(1-\cos\theta)dt'.$$
(23)

Particularly, the geometric phase becomes under the cyclical evolution

$$\delta_{nm}^{g}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \oint (n-m)(1-\cos\theta)d\varphi, \qquad (24)$$

which is the known geometric Aharonov-Anandan phase.

Deringer

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, by using of the L-R invariant theory, we have studied phases of Bose-Einstein condensates, dynamical and geometric phases are presented respectively. The Aharonov-Anandan phase is obtained under the cyclical evolution.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Beijing NSF under Grant No. 1072010.

References

- 1. Anderson, M.H., Ensher, J.R., Matthens, M.R., Wieman, C.E., Cornell, E.A.: Science 269, 198 (1995)
- 2. Bradley, C.C., Sackett, C.A., Tollet, J.J., Hulet, R.G.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995)
- Davis, K.B., Mewes, M.O., Andrews, M.R., Druten, N.J., Durfee, D.S., Kurn, D.M., Ketterle, W.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995)
- 4. Lewenstein, M., You, L.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1339 (1993)
- 5. You, L., Lewenstein, M., Copper, J.: Phys. Rev. A 50, R3565 (1994)
- 6. Kuang, L.M.: Commun. Theor. Phys. 30, 161 (1998)
- 7. Yu, Z.X., Jiao, Z.Y.: Commun. Theor. Phys. 36, 449 (2001)
- 8. Imamoglu, A., Kennedy, T.A.B.: Phys. Rev. A 55, R849 (1997)
- 9. Castin, Y., Dalibard, J.: Phys. Rev. A 55, 4330 (1997)
- 10. Jack, M.W., Collet, M.J., Walls, D.F.: Phys. Rev. A 55, 2109 (1997)
- 11. Milburn, G.J., Corney, J., Wright, E.M., Walls, D.F.: Phys. Rev. A 55, 4318 (1997)
- 12. Wu, Y., Yang, X., Sun, C.P.: Phys. Rev. A 62, 063603 (2000)
- 13. Wu, Y., Yang, X., Xiao, Y.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2200 (2001)
- 14. Liu, W.M., Fan, W.B., Zheng, W.M., Liang, J.Q., Chui, S.T.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 170408 (2002)
- 15. Liu, W.M., Wu, B., Niu, Q.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2294 (2000)
- 16. Liang, J.J., Liang, J.Q., Liu, W.M.: Phys. Rev. A 68, 043605 (2003)
- 17. Zheng, G.P., Liang, J.Q.: Ann. Phys. 321, 950 (2006)
- 18. Liang, J.Q., et al.: Phys. Rev. B 61, 8856 (2000)
- 19. Lewis, H.R., Riesenfeld, W.B.: J. Math. Phys. 10, 1458 (1969)
- 20. Gao, X.C., Xu, J.B., Qian, T.Z.: Phys. Rev. A 44, 7016 (1991)
- 21. Aharonov, Y., Anandan, J.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1593 (1987)
- 22. Richardson, D.J., et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2030 (1988)
- 23. Berry, M.V.: Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 392, 45 (1984)
- 24. Simon, B.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2167 (1983)
- 25. Wilczek, F., Zee, A.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 2111 (1984)
- 26. Moody, J., et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 893 (1986)
- 27. Mead, C.A.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 161 (1987)
- 28. Sun, C.P.: Phys. Rev. D 41, 1349 (1990)
- 29. Sun, C.P.: Phys. Rev. A 48, 393 (1993)
- 30. Sun, C.P.: Phys. Rev. D 38, 298 (1988)
- 31. Sun, C.P.: J. Phys. A 21, 1595 (1988)
- 32. Sun, C.P., et al.: Phys. Rev. A 63, 012111 (2001)
- 33. Matthews, M.R., et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3358 (1999)
- 34. Leggett, A.J.: Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307 (2001)
- 35. Wei, J., Norman, E.: J. Math. Phys. 4, 575 (1963)